The use of archaeologists at disaster scenes: a reflection 10 years on

Dr Soren Blau, Victorian Institute of Forensic Medicine, Monash University, offers an update of her 2005 paper.

21.5

One chance only: advocating the use of archaeology in search, location and recovery at disaster scenes

Soren Blass argues for the inclusion of forensic archaeologists in omergency situation

Abstract

The public has hardinately perceived the discipline of anchanding in Intro-current with accident rates, treated harding and Intro-current with accident rates, treated harding and Expellion research. While acchanology may have unfined been a perception problem, there is no closed from the discipline plant avoidable with a providing melanoid about both secret and distant particulates. In the last decade anchanology late extended as while with formers, Ambandony has promen hard to be an effective annealization of the last decade anchanology has promen hard to be an effective meeting them to other nationally personalized. An accordance of the hardinates and the UCI and internationally the fire mentgation of word others in, has example, Bosons and County). In data internationally the horse among in Australia, An other accordance of the hardinates and except the paper numbers the ways in which activatelysts comprobles the problems of fluction covers are recovers and except the paper numbers the ways in which activatelysts comprobles the problems of fluction of the second property in the problems of a problems of the termination of a problems of the paper numbers the country of the except of the familiar sharp an problems of the termination of a problems of the paper survives.

ntroduction

It is obvious that crime and disserts sorte investigation are well experienced and supaged to undertake the homourp recently of each sortes. The arm of this paper is no disseas the edited of see dissiplate of limited according to the control of t

approach, embecing prevention, preparadaese, importer

Archarology has been defined as the study of the pass from its naterial resurses. The discipline of a structule has been correlational by a perspectage problem, often being associated with succeed rotten, the explains of bediens Jones or Lav Cardi, resource fronting, book rating and a gogetim memories. Despite these peoples (son-lower-passes, and-nodegy is a perdonantal exceptation for which you segarts a convenity diagne and develop a source of specialized didli; The professional orthodogic the an executive disposition of the object works of the production, recording includings and expect sensing, and oben offers a specialist enaltysis such as date of human rottans.

of incomments to the dead to netropacy was the basis for the development of the distipline of softwarfage. Deligs archaeology to being employed to incondugate forcing a second of the property of the incondugate deads to the informally new, yet repaidly expossing distinct forms foremax unthropology Continuers or at 2000 Tel-21th oblitude at the applications of softwarfage at held to being an interpolation, and at incontrast of softwarfage at held to being an understanding, intogration, content and interpolations of quarter, are having use limitation and the content and armitotics of (causally) bound informs and evidence instruction within a delignal area (Conner and Sect 2001 T), thousand 1004 730, (Senser 1007 7.20).

Archarding the best of armest to dissuit and claimcome investigates but and lemmes wishes, come come investigates and archarding have smaller ammsore investigates and archarding have smaller ammsore deployment, that is, it a community previous function articles by sanching, for recovering and pasterioring, physical measure, subsects they be objects, suppose or reading. Although the time periods may be different, the philosophy and providents are much the same (Divinisate and Advance 1971, 400).

In 2005, Dr Soren Blau summarised the ways in which the inclusion of appropriately trained archaeologists at disaster scenes could benefit the search, location and recovery of buried evidence. The article outlined how archaeology had been an effective forensic sciences tool domestically and internationally in many countries but that it had not been taken up in the same way in Australia. It was recognised that the situation in Australia was more than likely a result of misconceptions about the role of archaeology, namely that the discipline is associated with treasure hunting, tomb raiding and laborious recovery of remains. In an attempt to dispel this misconception, a preliminary workshop was held in 2003 at the University of Adelaide which brought together professional archaeologists and representatives from emergency and forensic services to discuss how each of the areas potentially overlapped and where archaeologists could assist. It was anticipated that this workshop could lay the foundation for the development of a formalised

database of names of professional archaeologists who could advise and assist forensic and emergency services where required. While some agencies did receive the list, a professional group of Australian forensic archaeologists was, unfortunately, never formed. While there are organisations such as NSW Police who have an Expert Referral Team which includes forensic anthropologists with expertise in the recovery of buried evidence, there is no established formal group of forensic archaeologists. This differs to the situation overseas where professional organisations have been established (e.g. NecroSearch setup in 1991 in the USA and the Forensic Search and Advisory Group established in the UK in 1995). Such organisations provide a register of appropriately trained forensic anthropology/archaeology practitioners that law enforcement and emergency services organisations can consult when required.

Forensic archaeology (Hunter & Cox 2005, Hunter et al. 2013) and forensic anthropology (Blau & Ubelaker 2009, Dirkmaat 2012a) have emerged as distinct disciplines in the UK. However, there are no such divisions throughout Latin America and in the USA where many forensic anthropologists are trained in archaeology and incorporate the methods and techniques in their daily work. In Australia, many of the forensic service providers employ forensic anthropologists, some of whom have training in archaeology (Donlon 2009). The value of including such practitioners at disaster scenes was seen during the disaster victim identification DVI





process following the 2009 Victorian Bushfires (Blau & Briggs 2011).

While forensic archaeology is still not an independent, recognised discipline in Australia, efforts to raise awareness about the benefits of including a forensic archaeologist and/or anthropologist where evidence (including human remains) may be buried are continuing in the form of training and education for relevant stakeholders. For example, the Victorian Institute of Forensic Medicine has delivered training in the location and recovery of buried evidence to Australian Federal Police crime scene examiners (Blau & Sterenberg in press A) and, more recently, in collaboration with the Institute of Technology, Sydney, to Victorian Police crime scene examiners.

While Australian police and emergency services agencies still do not routinely recognise the value of including a forensic archaeologist and/or anthropologist at a disaster scene, the increase in recent national (Blau & Sterenberg in press B) and international publications on these subjects (e.g. Cheetham & Hanson 2009, Cox et al. 2008, Dupras et al. 2012, Dirkmaat 2012b, Groen et al. in press, Holland & Connell 2009) clearly highlights the benefits. It is hoped that with continued awareness raising the situation in Australian will begin shift.

References

Blau S 2005, One chance only: advocating the use of archaeology in search, location and recovery at disaster scenes. The Australian Journal of Emergency Management vol 20, no. 1, pp. 19-24.

Blau S & Briggs C 2011, The 2009 Victorian Bushfires Disaster: The role of forensic anthropology in Disaster Victim Identification (DVI). Forensic Science International 205: pp. 19-35.

Blau S & Sterenberg J In press A, The use of (forensic) archaeology in Australia in the search and recovery of buried evidence: A Review. In: Groen, M., Márquez-Grant, N. and

Janaway, R. (eds.). Forensic Archaeology: A Global Perspective. Wiley-Blackwell.

Blau S & Sterenberg J In press B, The use of forensic archaeology and anthropology in the search and recovery of buried evidence. In: Payne-James, J. and Byard, R. (eds.) Encyclopedia of Forensic and Legal Medicine (2nd edition). Elsevier.

Cheetham PN & Hanson I 2009, Excavation and recovery in forensic archaeological investigations. In: Blau S & Ubelaker DH (eds.) Hand book of Forensic Anthropology and Archaeology, pp. 141-149. California: Left Coast Press.

Cox M, Flavel A, Hanson I, Laver J & Wessling R 2008, The Scientific Investigation of Mass Graves: Towards Protocols and Standard Operating Procedures. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dirkmaat DC 2012a, A Companion to Forensic Anthropology. Wiley-Blackwell.

Dirkmaat DC 2012b, Forensic anthropology at the mass fatality incident (commercial airliner) crash scene. In: Dirkmaat, D.C (ed.) A Companion to Forensic Anthropology, pp.. 136-156. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.

Donlon D 2009, The development and current state of forensic anthropology: An Australian perspective. In: Blau S & Ubelaker DH (eds.) Hand book of Forensic Anthropology and Archaeology. pp: 104-114. California: Left Coast Press.

Dupras T, Schultz J, Wheeler S & Williams L 2012, (2nd edt). Forensic Recovery of Human Remains: Archaeological Approaches. New York: CRC.

Groen M, Márquez-Grant N & Janaway R (eds.). Forensic Archaeology: A Global Perspective. Wiley-Blackwell publishing.

Holland TD & Connell SV 2009, The search and detection of human remains. In: Blau S & Ubelaker DH (eds.) Hand book of Forensic Anthropology and Archaeology, pp. 129-140. California: Left Coast Press.

Hunter J, Simpson B & Study Colls C 2013, Forensic Approaches to Buried Remains. Oxford: Wiley Blackwell.

Hunter J & Cox M (eds.) 2005, Forensic Archaeology: Advances in Theory and Practice. London: Routledge.